TARDEC

---TECHNICAL REPORT---

No. 13660



RIDE MOTION SIMULATOR (RMS) TESTING
USING HUMAN OCCUPANTS
(Submitted to Human Use Committee)
FINAL REPORT

DECEMBER 1994



AnnMarie Berger
U.S. Army Tank-automotive and
Armaments Command
By Warren, MI 48397-5000


19950329 001

E NATION'S

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Research, Development, and Engineering Center Detroit Arsenal Warren, Michigan 48397-5000 WINNER OF THE 1994 FEDERAL QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROTOTYPE AWARD

NOTICES



Mention of any trade names or manufacturers in this report shall not be construed as an official endorsement of approval of such products or companies by the U.S. Government.

Destroy report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Artington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

3			.,
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave bl		3. REPORT TYPE AND	
			17 Oct - 4 Nov 94
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	or (RMS) Testing Using		6. FUNDING NUMBERS
2	bmitted to Human Use C		
numan occupancs (but	mitted to namen ose o	Onmit cece)	
6. AUTHOR(S)			
AnnMarie Berger			
·			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
-	motive and Armaments C		REPORT NOWBER
	nt and Engineering Cen	ter	
ATTN: AMSTA-TR-X	200		
Warren, MI 48397-50	300		
9 SPONSORING / MONITORING A	GENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(E	5).	0. SPONSORING / MONITORING
3. 3. 5. 5. 5. 5. S.	orier tenticis, nos nostressie.	"	AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
		•	
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY	CTATEMENT		2b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABLE !	31ATEMENT	.]'	20. DISTRIBUTION CODE
See distribution pag	ġ e		
•			•
			•
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wor	·ds)		
The objective of thi	is report is to summar	ize the results of	using human occupants
in the RMS during th	ne Crewmans Associate	Job. This report	does not address the
	ained on the helmet mo		
,	y. This report will b	e submitted to the	Human Use Committee
and describes the te	ests conducted.		
•			
2	^		
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES
Laboratory Test Helmet Mounted Displays Vibration			10
RMS Controllers		16. PRICE CODE	
Simulation	Humans		
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICA	TION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
OF REPORT Unclassified	OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	Limited
OUCTARRITTED	OHCTOSSITIER	I OUCTGSSTITED	TIMILCEG

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

The Report Documentation Page (RDP) is used in announcing and cataloging reports. It is important that this information be consistent with the rest of the report, particularly the cover and title page. Instructions for filling in each block of the form follow. It is important to stay within the lines to meet optical scanning requirements.

- Block 1. Agency Use Only (Leave blank).
- Block 2. Report Date. Full publication date including day, month, and year, if available (e.g. 1 Jan 88). Must cite at least the year.
- Block 3. Type of Report and Dates Covered. State whether report is interim, final, etc. If applicable, enter inclusive report dates (e.g. 10 Jun 87 30 Jun 88).
- Block 4. <u>Title and Subtitle</u>. A title is taken from the part of the report that provides the most meaningful and complete information. When a report is prepared in more than one volume, repeat the primary title, add volume number, and include subtitle for the specific volume. On classified documents enter the title classification in parentheses.
- Block 5. Funding Numbers. To include contract and grant numbers; may include program element number(s), project number(s), task number(s), and work unit number(s). Use the following labels:

C - Contract PR - Project G - Grant TA - Task

PE - Program WU - Work Unit Element Accession No.

- **Block 6.** <u>Author(s)</u>. Name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. If editor or compiler, this should follow the name(s).
- **Block 7.** <u>Performing Organization Name(s) and Address(es)</u>. Self-explanatory.
- Bloc¹ 8. <u>Performing Organization Report</u>
 <u>Number</u>. Enter the unique alphanumeric report
 number(s) assigned by the organization
 performing the report.
- Block 9. <u>Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(s)</u> and Address(es). Self-explanatory.
- **Block 10.** Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Report Number. (If known)
- Block 11. <u>Supplementary Notes</u>. Enter information not included elsewhere such as: Prepared in cooperation with...; Trans. of...; To be published in.... When a report is revised, include a statement whether the new report supersedes or supplements the older report.

Block 12a. <u>Distribution/Availability Statement</u>. Denotes public availability or limitations. Cite any availability to the public. Enter additional limitations or special markings in all capitals (e.g. NOFORN, REL, ITAR).

DOD - See DoDD 5230.24, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

DOE - See authorities.

NASA - See Handbook NHB 2200.2.

NTIS - Leave blank.

Block 12b. Distribution Code.

DOD - Leave blank.

DOE - Enter DOE distribution categories from the Standard Distribution for Unclassified Scientific and Technical Reports.

NASA - Leave blank. NTIS - Leave blank.

- **Block 13.** Abstract. Include a brief (*Maximum 200 words*) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report.
- **Block 14.** <u>Subject Terms</u>. Keywords or phrases identifying major subjects in the report.
- **Block 15.** <u>Number of Pages</u>. Enter the total number of pages.
- **Block 16.** <u>Price Code</u>. Enter appropriate price code (NTIS only).
- Blocks 17.-19. Security Classifications. Self-explanatory. Enter U.S. Security Classification in accordance with U.S. Security Regulations (i.e., UNCLASSIFIED). If form contains classified information, stamp classification on the top and bottom of the page.
- Block 20. <u>Limitation of Abstract</u>. This block must be completed to assign a limitation to the abstract. Enter either UL (unlimited) or SAR (same as report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited. If blank, the abstract is assumed to be unlimited.

PREFACE

This report discusses the use of human occupants in the Ride Motion Simulator (RMS). Questions regarding ride motion simulation of vehicles using the Ride Motion Simulator are to be referred to the U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Research, Development and Engineering Center, ATTN: Simulation, Test and Reliability Group, AMSTA-TR-X, Warren, MI 48397-5000, Telephone: AUTOVON/DSN 786-6228, Commercial (810) 574-6228, FAX (810) 574-8667.

			_
Accesion	For		4
NTIS (CRA&I	×	1
DTIC		白	1
Unanno			1
Justifica	ation		
Ву			
Distribu	ition/		
A	vailabili	y Codes	
Dist	Avail	and for	
Dist	Sp	ecial	
1	1	1	
11-1	1		
1			

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page
Section 1.0 Introduction	. 4
2.0 Test Objectives	. 4
3.0 Conclusion	. 4
4.0 Recommendations	. 4
5.0 Discussion	. 5
Appendix A	1-1
Distribution List I)-1

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Army Tank-automotive and Armaments Command (TACOM) has full safety certification from the U.S. Army Test-Evaluation Command (TECOM) for the Ride Motion Simulator (RMS). This certification allows the use of test subjects in the seat of the RMS during its operation. The Crewmans Associate Job was performed on 17 October - 4 November 1994. Human test subjects were used to test and evaluate using various combinations of two different driving controllers and two different helmet mounted displays (HMD) while under terraintype and sine wave motion conditions.

Prior to conducting a simulation using test subjects, approval for the test plan was obtained from the Human Use Committee (HUC) and the commander of the Tank-Automotive Research Development and Engineering Center (TARDEC). A Volunteer Agreement Affidavit, form DA 5303-R, is completed for each subject. The test was then conducted and this report, summarizing the test results, is being submitted to the HUC to finalize the test.

2.0 OBJECTIVE

The objective of this report is to summarize the results of using human occupants in the RMS during the Crewmans Associate Job. This report does not address the subjective data obtained on the helmet mounted displays and controllers preferability and usability. This report will be submitted to the Human Use Committee and describes the tests conducted.

3.0 CONCLUSION

The tests were completed without incident to any of the test subjects. The use of human occupants provided invaluable test data since the results of the experiment should provide justification for both near and far-term crewstation design decisions.

4.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

This test again shows that the RMS is safe for human use. The large amount of hardware/software interlocks and numerous safety precautions taken by Physical Simulation Laboratory (PSL) personnel before and during operation, make the simulator very safe. The simulator is an excellent tool for a wide variety of testing including controller/HMD combinations, man/machine interaction, etc.

5.0 DISCUSSION

All test runs are listed below.

10/17/94 - 10/28/94

<u>Test Subjects</u>: Soldiers (5) from Ft. Knox, Kentucky.

<u>Test Protocol</u>: Small periods of sinusoidal motion and simulated terrain

motions of a Bradley traversing Perryman1 (@25mph), Perryman2 (@15mph), and Churchville B(@10mph) were

performed.

Comments: All tests ran smoothly. Each test run lasted approximately

30 minutes and consisted of 9 different sinusoidal motions and 3 different terrains. The testing period went from 0800 hrs to 1600 hrs, 2 runs for each soldier making it a total of

ten runs a day.

10/31/94 - 11/4/94

Test Subjects: Civilians (8) from the Crewmans Associate TEAM (TARDEC).

Test Protocol: Small periods of sinusoidal motion and simulated terrain

motions of a Bradley traversing Perryman1 (@25mph), Perryman2 (@15mph), and Churchville B(@10mph) were

performed.

Comments: All tests ran smoothly. Each test run lasted approximately

30 minutes. The testing period lasted all day (0800-1400), with each subject only riding a total of 4 times during the


entire week.

Appendix A contains position and acceleration plots of the dynamic scenarios used. There was a maximum of ± 2 g's of acceleration during the test scenarios as shown in the acceleration plots in the appendix. In summary, no problems were incurred during any of the testing. The test subjects reported no ill effects from the runs.


APPENDIX A


Position and Vertical Acceleration Plots














 \exists











DISTRIBUTION LIST

G 1		Copies	*
ATTN: ASQNC-TAGAMSTA-TRAMSTA-T	tive and Armaments Command C-DIT (Technical Library) (Mr. Wheelock) X (Bldg. 215) D (Mr. Culling) X (Mr. Reid) 48397-5000	1	-
Commander	1	12	
Manager	<u> </u>	2	;
Commander Defense Technical Inform Bldg. 5, Cameron Station ATTN: DDAC Alexandria, VA 22304-99	1	1	
	oment, Eng, & Acquisition)		